

On the homological dimensions of pullbacks. II

N. KOSMATOV

# On the homological dimensions of pullbacks. II

Nikolai Kosmatov

In this article, all rings are assumed to have identity elements preserved by ring homomorphisms, and all modules are left modules. For a ring  $\Lambda$ , let  $\text{lgld } \Lambda$  and  $\text{wd } \Lambda$  denote the left global dimension of  $\Lambda$  and the weak dimension of  $\Lambda$ , respectively. For a  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ , we denote the injective, projective and flat dimensions of  $X$  by  $\text{id}_\Lambda X$ ,  $\text{pd}_\Lambda X$  and  $\text{fd}_\Lambda X$ , respectively. The left finitistic injective, projective and flat dimensions of  $\Lambda$  are denoted and defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IFID } \Lambda &= \sup\{\text{id}_\Lambda M \mid M \text{ is a } \Lambda\text{-module with } \text{id}_\Lambda M < \infty\}, \\ \text{IFPD } \Lambda &= \sup\{\text{pd}_\Lambda M \mid M \text{ is a } \Lambda\text{-module with } \text{pd}_\Lambda M < \infty\}, \\ \text{IFFD } \Lambda &= \sup\{\text{fd}_\Lambda M \mid M \text{ is a } \Lambda\text{-module with } \text{fd}_\Lambda M < \infty\}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider a commutative square of rings and ring homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{i_1} & R_1 \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ R_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & R', \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  is the pullback (also called fibre product) of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  over  $R'$ , that is, given  $r_1 \in R_1$  and  $r_2 \in R_2$  with  $j_1(r_1) = j_2(r_2)$ , there is a unique element  $r \in R$  such that  $i_1(r) = r_1$  and  $i_2(r) = r_2$ . We assume that  $i_1$  is a surjection.

In the present paper we continue our study of homological dimensions of pullbacks started in [1]. Our purpose is to give upper bounds for the finitistic dimensions of  $R$  (Theorems 1, 2 and 3). We also provide two simple examples of pullbacks where we use these results to calculate homological dimensions, and show that our conditions are essential. In the first example, a pullback of two hereditary rings has finite finitistic dimensions though its global and weak dimensions are infinite. Therefore, it is impossible to estimate the global and weak dimensions of a pullback if only that of the component rings are given. The second example demonstrates that our estimates would not be true if we dropped the assumption that  $i_1$  is surjective.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Suppose that for every  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite injective dimension we have that*

$$\text{id}_{R_k}(\text{Ext}_R^l(R_k, M)) \leq n - l \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \dots, n \text{ and } k = 1, 2.$$

*Then  $\text{lFID } R \leq n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module of finite injective dimension. From [1, Proposition 5] it follows that  $\text{id}_R M \leq n$ . Therefore  $\text{lFID } R = \sup\{\text{id}_R M \mid \text{id}_R M < \infty\} \leq n$ .

Similarly, [1, Propositions 6 and 7] allow us to prove analogous bounds for finitistic projective and flat dimensions.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Suppose that for every  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite projective dimension we have that*

$$\text{pd}_{R_k}(\text{Tor}_l^R(R_k, M)) \leq n - l \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \dots, n \text{ and } k = 1, 2.$$

*Then  $\text{lFPD } R \leq n$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Suppose that for every  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite flat dimension we have that*

$$\text{fd}_{R_k}(\text{Tor}_l^R(R_k, M)) \leq n - l \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \dots, n \text{ and } k = 1, 2.$$

*Then  $\text{lFFD } R \leq n$ .*

**Example 1.** Let  $s \geq 2$ ,  $R' = \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R_1 = R_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R = \{(m_1, m_2) \in R_1 \times R_2 \mid m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{s}\}$ . Then in the commutative square (1) with canonical surjections  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  the ring  $R$  is the pullback of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  over  $R'$ . There exist the periodic free resolutions of the  $R$ -modules  $R_k$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{(0,s)} R \xrightarrow{(s,0)} R \xrightarrow{(0,s)} R \xrightarrow{i_1} R_1 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{(s,0)} R \xrightarrow{(0,s)} R \xrightarrow{(s,0)} R \xrightarrow{i_2} R_2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where the syzygies are the submodules  $s\mathbb{Z} \times 0 \simeq R_1$  and  $0 \times s\mathbb{Z} \simeq R_2$ . It is easily seen that the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \times s\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow R \xrightarrow{i_1} R_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow s\mathbb{Z} \times 0 \hookrightarrow R \xrightarrow{i_2} R_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

do not split. Hence the  $R$ -modules  $R_k$  are not projective. By [2, Theorem 3.2.7], they are not flat either. It follows that  $\text{pd}_R R_k = \text{fd}_R R_k = \infty$  and  $\text{lgld } R = \text{wd } R = \infty$ . At the same time,  $\text{lgld } R_k = \text{wd } R_k = 1$ . We see that it is impossible to estimate  $\text{lgld } R$  and  $\text{wd } R$  with only  $\text{lgld } R_k$  and  $\text{wd } R_k$  given.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension with a projective resolution

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

Since  $\text{lgld } R_k = 1$ , we have  $\text{pd}_{R_k}(\text{Tor}_0^R(R_k, M)) \leq 1$ . Applying [2, Exercise 2.4.3] to the projective resolutions (2), (3) and (4), we obtain for a sufficiently large  $t$

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R_k, M) \simeq \text{Tor}_{1+2t}^R(R_k, M) \simeq \text{Tor}_1^R(R_k, 0) = 0.$$

Consequently,  $\text{pd}_{R_k}(\text{Tor}_1^R(R_k, M)) = \text{pd}_{R_k} 0 = 0$ . Theorem 2 now yields that  $\text{lFPD } R \leq 1$ . In the same manner we can use Theorems 1 and 3 to show that  $\text{lFID } R \leq 1$  and  $\text{lFFD } R \leq 1$ .

Consider the following projective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $R/(s, s)R$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{(s,s)} R \xrightarrow{pr} R/(s, s)R \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since this short exact sequence does not split, we have  $\text{pd}_R(R/(s, s)R) = \text{fd}_R(R/(s, s)R) = 1$ . This clearly forces  $\text{lFPD } R = \text{lFFD } R = 1$ .

The subgroup  $R$  of the free Abelian group  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is a free Abelian group also, therefore, applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, -)$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This sequence does not split and, by [2, Corollary 2.3.11], it is an injective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Z})$ . It follows that  $\text{id}_R(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Z})) = 1$ , and hence that  $\text{lFID } R = 1$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $F$  be a field. Define  $R' = F(x, y)$ ,  $R_1 = F(x)[y]$ ,  $R_2 = F(y)[x]$ ,  $R = R_1 \cap R_2 = F[x, y]$ . Then the ring  $R$  is the pullback of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  over  $R'$  in the commutative square (1) with inclusions  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  none of which is surjective. We claim that in this case our results are not true.

By [2, Proposition 4.1.5, Corollary 4.3.8], we have  $\text{wd } R = \text{lgld } R = 2$  and  $\text{wd } R_k = \text{lgld } R_k = 1$ . Since these dimensions are finite, we have that

$\text{IFFD } R = \text{IFPD } R = \text{IFID } R = 2$  and  $\text{IFFD } R_k = \text{IFPD } R_k = \text{IFID } R_k = 1$ . It is easy to check that the  $R$ -modules  $R_k$  are flat. So the assumptions of Theorems 2 and 3 hold for  $n = 1$ , but their conclusions are false. The same observation can be made about the estimates [1, Proposition 5, 6 and 7, Theorems 9 and 10, Corollaries 12 and 13], which are not true in this case either.

It can be explained by the fact that the surjectivity condition cannot be dropped in the basic result [1, Theorem 1]. Indeed, we see at once that the  $R$ -module  $M = R/(xR + yR)$  is neither projective nor flat, whilst the  $R_k$ -modules  $R_k \otimes_R M = 0$  are projective and flat. From [2, Proposition 3.2.4] we conclude that the  $R$ -module  $X = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is not injective, though the  $R_k$ -modules  $\text{Hom}_R(R_k, X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R_k \otimes_R M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  are injective.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Professor A. I. Generalov for suggesting the problem and guidance.

## References

- [1] N. KOSMATOV. *On the global and weak dimensions of pullbacks of non-commutative rings*. Publications Mathématiques de l'UFR Sciences et Techniques de Besançon, Théorie des Nombres, Années 1996/97–1997/98, 1–7 (Univ. de Franche-Comté, Besançon, 1999).
- [2] C. A. WEIBEL. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

Equipe de Mathématiques  
 Université de Franche-Comté  
 16, route de Gray  
 25030 Besançon Cedex France

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics  
 Saint-Petersburg State University  
 Bibliotechnaya pl. 2  
 Saint-Petersburg, 198904, Russia  
 E-Mail: koko@nk1442.spb.edu